

§10. Systemizers and Carter Subgroups of Soluble Groups

(A) Let G be a soluble group and let p_1, \dots, p_k be the distinct primes which divide $|G|$. By 9.5, G has for each $i=1, 2, \dots, k$ at least one S_{p_i} subgroup H_i . By 9.6 (iii), the 2^k intersections of sets of subgroups chosen from H_1, \dots, H_k , including the empty intersection G , are S_{ω} -subgroups of G for various ω . In particular $P_i = \bigcap_{j \neq i} H_j$ is a Sylow p_i -subgroup of G . Since for $i \neq l$

$$|P_i P_l| = \left| \bigcap_{j \neq i, l} H_j \right| = |P_l P_i|,$$

we must have $P_i P_l = \bigcap_{j \neq i, l} H_j = P_l P_i$, so that the Sylow subgroups P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k of G are permutable in pairs. And if Λ is any subset of $1, 2, \dots, k$ and Λ' is the complementary set, we have more generally

$$\prod_{i \in \Lambda} P_i = \bigcap_{j \in \Lambda'} H_j.$$

Thus the 2^k intersections of sets of H_j coincide with the 2^k products of sets of P_i , including the empty product 1. This set of 2^k subgroups is called an S-system of G . Given any S-system \underline{T} of G , and any set of primes ω , just one member of \underline{T} is an S_{ω} -subgroup of G and this will be denoted by T_{ω} . If ω and ψ have the same intersection with the set p_1, \dots, p_k , then $T_{\omega} = T_{\psi}$. \underline{T} is determined uniquely by the T_p and equally well by the $T_{p'}$. For any two sets of primes ω and ψ , we have

$$T_{\omega} T_{\psi} = T_{\psi} T_{\omega} = T_{\omega \cup \psi}$$

and
$$T_{\omega} \cap T_{\psi} = T_{\omega \cap \psi}.$$

In particular, $T_{\omega} T_{\omega'} = G$ and $T_{\omega} \cap T_{\omega'} = 1$.

Lemma 10.1 Let \underline{S} and \underline{T} be any two S-systems of the soluble group G . Then there is an element $\xi \in G$ such that $S_{\omega}^{\xi} = T_{\omega}$ for all ω .

Proof: ~~Suppose that $S_{p_i} = T_{p_i}$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, t-1$~~ Suppose that $S_{p_i} = T_{p_i}$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, t-1$ ($0 \leq t \leq k$) while $S_{p_t} \neq T_{p_t}$. Since S_{p_t} and T_{p_t} are conjugate in G ^{by 9.5} and $S_{p_t} S_{p_t}^a = G$, there is an element $\eta \in S_{p_t}$ such that $S_{p_t}^{\eta} = T_{p_t}$. But

$S_{p_r} \leq S_{p_i}^i$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, r-1$. Hence the S -system $S_{\underline{m}}^1$ of G coincides with \underline{T} in its first r Sylow complements T_{p_1}, \dots, T_{p_r} . By induction on r , $S_{\underline{m}}^{\xi} = \underline{T}$ for some $\xi \in G$.

(B). The normalizers in G of the S -systems \underline{T} of G will be called the systemizers of G . By definition,

$$N_G(\underline{T}) = \bigcap_{\omega} N_G(T_{\omega}) = \bigcap_p N_G(T_p) = \bigcap_p N_G(T_{p_i}).$$

If H is any subgroup of G and \underline{V} is an S -system of H , then

$$N_G(\underline{V}) = \bigcap_{\omega} N_G(V_{\omega}) = \bigcap_p N_G(V_p) = \bigcap_p N_G(V_{p_i})$$

will be called a relative systemizer of H in G . Since $H = \prod_p V_p$, it is clear that $N_G(\underline{V}) = N_L(\underline{V})$, where $L = N_G(H)$. $H \cap N_G(\underline{V}) = N_H(\underline{V})$ is a systemizer of H .

Lemma 10.2 Let G be a soluble group and let $H \triangleleft G$.

(i) The relative systemizers L of H in G are all conjugate in G and $LH = G$. In particular any two systemizers of G are conjugate in G .

(ii) The systemizers of G are nilpotent.

Proof: (i) Let $L = N_G(\underline{V})$ and $M = N_G(\underline{W})$ where \underline{V} and \underline{W} are S -systems of H . By 10.1, $\underline{W} = \underline{V}^{\xi}$ for some $\xi \in H$. Hence $M = L^{\xi}$. If $\eta \in G$ then \underline{V}^{η} is an S -system of H since $H \triangleleft G$. Hence $\underline{V}^{\eta\gamma} = \underline{V}$ for some $\gamma \in L$ by 10.1 and so $\eta\gamma \in L$, $\eta \in LH$. This is true for all $\eta \in G$ so $G = LH$.

(ii) Take $H = L$ and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of L . Then P normalizes V_p which is now a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Hence $P \leq V_p$, $P = L \cap V_p$ and so $P \triangleleft L$ since L normalizes V_p . Thus L is nilpotent.

(C) Now let M be a minimal normal subgroup of the soluble group G so that $|M| = q^m$ for some prime q ; and let \underline{T} be an S -system of G and $H = N_G(\underline{T})$ the corresponding systemizer. The groups MT_{ω}/M are permutable in pairs and by 5.7, MT_{ω}/M is an S_{ω} -subgroup of G/M . Since $MT_{\omega} = \prod_{p \in \omega} MT_p$, the groups $T_{\omega}^* = MT_{\omega}/M$ form an S -system \underline{T}^* of G/M . Let $H^*/M = N_{G/M}(\underline{T}^*)$. Thus $H^* = \bigcap_p N_G(MT_{p_i})$, and so $HM \leq H^*$. Conversely let $\xi \in H^*$. If $p \neq q$, then $MT_{p_i} = T_{p_i}$. Also $T_{q_i}^{\xi}$ is an S_{q_i} -subgroup of MT_{q_i} and hence it is conjugate to T_{q_i} in $MT_{q_i} = T_{q_i}M$. Thus $T_{q_i}^{\xi} = T_{q_i}^{\eta}$ for some $\eta \in M$. Hence $\eta \in T_{q_i}$.

for all $p \neq q$ and so $\xi\eta^{-1} \in H$, $\xi \in HM$. Thus $H = H^*$.

By repeated application of this argument we obtain

Lemma 10.3 Let G be a soluble group, $K \triangleleft G$ and let \underline{T} be an S-system of G , $T_{\omega}^* = KT_{\omega}/K$. Then the set \underline{T}^* of groups T_{ω}^* is an S-system of G/K ; and if $L = N_G(\underline{T})$, $L^* = N_{G/K}(\underline{T}^*)$, then $L^* = KL/K$.

In other words, in any epimorphism of a soluble group G onto another group G^* , the S-systems of G map into the S-systems of G^* and the systemizers of G map into the systemizers of G^* .

Now let M be once more a minimal normal subgroup of G with $|M| = q^m$, q prime; and let $C = C_G(M)$. If $C = G$, so that $M \leq ZG$ it is clear that every systemizer of G contains M . If $C < G$, let D/C be a chief factor of G . Then by 9.3 (ii), D/C is a q' -group. ~~Thus~~ If \underline{T} is any S-system of G and $L = D \cap T_{q'}$, it follows that $LC = D$ by 5.7. Let $H = N_G(\underline{T})$ and $N = H \cap M$. Then N normalizes L and we have $[L, N] \leq L \cap M = 1$. Hence L centralizes N . But C also centralizes N . Hence $N \leq ZD \triangleleft G$. If $N \neq 1$, it follows that $M \leq ZD$ since M is a minimal normal subgroup of G , contrary to the definition of $C = C_G(M)$. Hence $N = 1$.

Using 10.3, this gives

Theorem 10.4 Let H/K be any chief factor of the soluble group G and let L be any systemizer of G . Then $H \leq LK$ or $H \cap L \leq K$ according as H/K is a central factor of G or not.

We may express this result by saying that the systemizers of G cover every central chief factor of G and avoid every non-central chief factor of G . Now the index $|G:L|$ of a systemizer of G in G is equal to the number of distinct S-systems of G . Hence we have

Corollary 10.4.1 Let $G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_n = 1$ be a chief series of the soluble group G . Then the number of distinct S-systems of G is equal to $\prod |G_{i-1} : G_i|$ taken over all the non-central factors G_{i-1}/G_i of the given chief series.

81

Subgroups and direct products of nilpotent groups are nilpotent. By 8.5, it follows that every group G has a uniquely determined normal subgroup

$$G^{\infty} = \varprojlim G,$$

the limit of the lower central series of G , with the property that a quotient group G/K of G is nilpotent if and only if $K \geq G^{\infty}$.

Corollary 10.42 If L is a systemizer of the soluble group G , then $G = LG^{\infty} = \{L^{\xi}; \xi \in G\}$.

For every chief factor H/K of G with $K \geq G^{\infty}$ is a central factor of G and so $H \leq LK$ by 10.4. Hence $G = LG^{\infty}$. If M is a maximal normal subgroup of G , then G/M is cyclic of prime order and so $M \geq G^{\infty}$. It follows that $L \not\leq M$. Hence G is the normal closure of L in G .

(C) We consider next the relations between the systemizers of a soluble group G and the abnormal maximal subgroups of G . First we need

Lemma 10.43 Let \underline{T} be an S -system of the soluble group G , let $H = N_G(\underline{T})$ and let $N = N_G(T_{p'})$. Then the Sylow p -subgroup P of H is a Sylow p -subgroup of N and $|P|$ is equal to the product of the orders of the central p -factors in any chief series of G .

Proof: Let P^* be any Sylow p -subgroup of N . For $q \neq p$, there is an S_q -subgroup $T_{q'}^*$ of G which contains P^* , by 9.5. Let $T_{p'}^* = T_{p'}$ and let \underline{T}^* be the S -system of G determined by the $T_{p'}^*$. Then $P^* \leq H^* = N_G(\underline{T}^*) = \bigcap_{q'} N_G(T_{q'}^*)$ so $|N|_p = |P^*| \leq |H^*|_p = |H|_p = |P|$ and $|P| \leq |N|_p$ since $P \leq N$. Hence $|P| = |P^*|$.

The second part of 10.43 ~~now~~ follows from 10.4.

Now let M be an abnormal maximal subgroup of the soluble group G and suppose first that $K_G(M) = \bigcap_{\xi \in G} M^{\xi} = 1$. By 9.2, G has a unique minimal normal subgroup $L = \mathcal{A}G$ of order p^m , p prime, and $M \cap L = 1$, $ML = G$. Hence M contains an $S_{p'}$ -subgroup $T_{p'}$ of G . If $q \neq p$ and $T_{q'}$ is any $S_{q'}$ -subgroup of G , then $L \leq T_{q'}$ and so $M \cap T_{q'} = S_{q'}$ is an $S_{q'}$ -subgroup of M . Taking $S_{p'} = T_{p'}$, we thus obtain an S -system

\underline{S} of M and an S -system \underline{T} of G . Since M is not normal in G , we have $M \neq 1$ and so $L/1$ is a non-central chief factor of G by 9.2. Since M and G/L are incident sections of G , it follows that the product of the orders of the central p -factors in a chief series is the same for M as for G . Hence $N_G(T_{p'})$ and $N_M(T_{p'})$ have Sylow p -subgroups of the same order. Therefore $N_G(T_{p'}) = N_M(T_{p'})$ and $H = N_G(\underline{T}) \leq M$.

In the general case where $K = K_G(M) \neq 1$, we can apply this result to the group G/K . Let \underline{S} and \underline{T} be S -systems of M and G respectively, such that $S_{p'} = T_{p'}$. Here as before we assume $|G:M| = p^m$. For $q \neq p$ we have $|T_{q'} : S_{q'}| = p^m$ and so $MT_{q'} = G$, $M \cap T_{q'} = S_{q'}$. Since $N_G(T_{p'}) \leq N_G(KT_{p'}) = N_M(KT_{p'})$ by the above particular case, the result $N_G(T_{p'}) = N_M(T_{p'})$ holds in general. Hence again $H = N_G(\underline{T}) \leq M$ and therefore $H \leq N_M(\underline{S})$.

A subgroup H of a group G is called subabnormal in G if there is a chain of subgroups H_i such that

$$H = H_1 \text{ abn } H_2 \text{ abn } \dots \text{ abn } H_{r-1} \text{ abn } H_r = G.$$

Since every subgroup containing an abnormal subgroup is abnormal, we may also say that H is subabnormal in G if and only if H can be linked to G by a chain of subgroups each of which is maximal but not normal in the next.

We can now state

Theorem 10.5. Let G be a soluble group and let L be a subabnormal subgroup of G . Then

- (i) every systemizer of L contains a systemizer of G .
- (ii) If $|G:L| = p^m$, p prime, then L contains the normalizer in G of some $S_{p'}$ -subgroup of G and L is abnormal in G .
- (iii) The systemizers of G are the minimal subabnormal subgroups of G .

Proof: (i) $L = L_0 < L_1 < \dots < L_r = G$ where each L_{i-1} is an abnormal maximal subgroup of L_i . As we have seen, this implies that every systemizer of L_{i-1} contains a systemizer of L_i .

(ii) In this case $|L_i : L_{i-1}| = p^{m_i}$ say and if T is any S_{p_i} -subgroup of L , then T is an S_{p_i} -subgroup of G and we have $N_{L_{i-1}}(T) = N_{L_i}(T)$ for each i since L_{i-1} is abnormal and maximal in L_i . Hence $N_L(T) = N_G(T)$. But $N_G(T)$ is abnormal in G , since T prm G by 9.5. Hence L is abnormal in G .

(iii) By (i), we have only to show that $H = N_G(S_m)$ is subabnormal in G , where S_m is any S -system of G . Let $G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_m = 1$ be a chief series of G and let $H_i = N_G(S_m^{(i)})$, where $S_m^{(i)} = S_m \cap G_i$, so that $S_m^{(i)}$ is an S -system of G_i by 5.7 and H_i is the ^{corresponding} relative systemizer in G_i .

Clearly $H = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \dots \leq H_m = G$. Now $|G_{i-1} : G_i| = p^n$ for some prime $p = p(i)$ and some $n = n(i)$. Hence $S_q^{(i)} = S_q^{(i-1)}$ for $q \neq p$, and so H_{i-1} is the normalizer of $S_p^{(i)}$ in H_i . Now $H_i \cap G_i = N_{G_i}(S_m^{(i)})$ is

a systemizer of G_i and so $H_i \cap S_p^{(i)} = P_i$ is the Sylow p -subgroup of the nilpotent group $H_i \cap G_i$. Hence $H_{i-1} \leq N_{H_i}(P_i)$. But $S_p^{(i-1)} = S_p^{(i)}$

since G_{i-1}/G_i is a p -group and $H_i \cap G_i \geq G_{i-1}$. Hence every element of $N_{H_i}(P_i)$ normalizes $S_p^{(i-1)}$, and also $S_q^{(i-1)} = S_q^{(i)}$ for all $q \neq p$. It follows that $N_{H_i}(P_i) \leq H_{i-1}$. Consequently $H_{i-1} = N_{H_i}(P_i)$. [Now P_i is a Sylow subg

of the normal subgroup $H_i \cap G_i$ of H_i , so that P_i prm H_i] It follows that H_{i-1} abn H_i and, since this is true for each i , H is subabnormal in G .

direct
 (i)
 (ii)
 (iii)

The argument in (iii) shows rather more viz.

Lemma 10.51 Let $K \triangleleft G$, let K be soluble and let T_m be any S -system of K . Then the relative systemizer $N_G(T_m)$ is subabnormal in G .

Note that although systemizers are subabnormal subgroups, not every subgroup which contains a systemizer of the soluble group G need be subabnormal in G . For example, if G is the octahedral group and if H is one of the three elementary non-normal subgroups of order 4, then H contains two of the six systemizers of G (which are of order 2) but H is not subabnormal in G since the only proper subgroup of G which contains H is one of the Sylow 2-subgroups S and $H \triangleleft S$. However H prm G .

~~delete and replace by: since P_i is the S_p -subgroup of H_{i-1}~~
 $P_i(H_{i-1} S^{(i)} H_{i-1} S^{(i-1)})$

H_{i-1} is the normalizer of $S_p^{(i-1)}$ in H_i . Since

$G_{i-1} = G_i (S_p^{(i-1)} \cap H_i)$, it follows that

$|S_p^{(i-1)} \cap H_i| = |G_{i-1} : G_i| |S_p^{(i)} \cap H_i|$. If P^* is a

Sylow p -subgroup of $G_{i-1} \cap H_i$, then $P^* = |G_{i-1} : G_i| (P^* \cap G_i)$

since $P^* \cap G_i$ is in a systemizer of G_i , it follows that

$|P^*| \leq |S_p^{(i-1)} \cap H_i|$. Thus, $S_p^{(i-1)} \cap H_i$ is a

Sylow p -subgroup of $G_{i-1} \cap H_i$. Since $S_p^{(i-1)} = S_p^{(i)} (S_p^{(i-1)} \cap H_i)$,

it follows that $H_{i-1} = N_{H_i} (S_p^{(i-1)} \cap H_i)$. Since $S_p^{(i-1)} \cap H_i$

is a Sylow p -subgroup of $G_{i-1} \cap H_i \triangleleft H_i$, it follows

that $S_p^{(i-1)} \cap H_i$ perm H_i , so H_{i-1} abn H_i .

(D) A group G is called metanilpotent if it has a normal subgroup K such that both K and G/K are nilpotent. For such a K , clearly $G^a \leq K \leq \Omega G$. G is metanilpotent if and only if $G/\Omega G$ is nilpotent or equivalently if and only if G^a is nilpotent.

Theorem 10.6 Let G be metanilpotent and let H be a systemizer of G . Then H is a systemizer of every subgroup L of G which contains it. Also H is abnormal in G .

Proof: Let $F = \Omega G$ be the Fitting subgroup of G . Since G is metanilpotent, G/F is nilpotent and so $HF = G$ by 10.42. The group $L \cap F$ is nilpotent and so also is H by 10.2(ii). Let R_p and S_p be the Sylow p -subgroup of $L \cap F$ and H respectively. Then R_p char $L \cap F \triangleleft L$ and so $R_p \triangleleft L$. Hence $T_p = R_p S_p$ is a p -subgroup of L . Since $HF = G$, we have $H(L \cap F) = L$ and so T_p is a Sylow p -subgroup of L . Since $R_p \triangleleft L$ and $S_p \triangleleft H$, we have $T_p T_q = T_q T_p$ for any two primes p and q . Hence the groups T_p and their products form an S -system \underline{T} of L and $H \leq H^* = N_L(\underline{T})$.

Now let C/D be any chief factor of G such that $C \leq F$. By 9.3(i), F centralises C/D . ~~If $H \leq N_G(N_G(N))$, then~~ Let $N = N_G(H)$. If $H < N$, there exists such a chief factor C/D with $H \cap C \leq D$ and $D(N \cap C) > D$, by 9.4. Then $[H, N \cap C] \leq H \cap C \leq D$ and since $HF = G$, it follows that $[G, N \cap C] \leq D$. Hence $D(N \cap C) \triangleleft G$ and consequently $C = D(N \cap C)$ and C/D is a central factor of G . But in that case $C \leq HD$ by 9.4, contrary to $H \cap C \leq D$. This contradiction shows that $H = N$ is disnormal in G . It now follows that $H = H^*$ is a systemizer of L , since H^* is nilpotent by 10.2(ii).

Finally, let $H \leq L \cap L^\xi$, where $\xi \in G$. Then $\xi H \xi^{-1}$ and H are two systemizers of L and hence they are conjugate in L . Hence there is an element η of L such that $H^{\xi^{-1}\eta} = H$. Then $\xi^{-1}\eta \in N_G(H) = H$ and so $\xi \in L$. Since L is any subgroup of G containing H , it follows that H is abnormal in G .

This theorem like the next is due to R. Carter.

In any group G , a nilpotent subgroup which is disnormal in G will be called a Carter subgroup of G .

Theorem 10.7 Let G be a soluble group. Then

- (i) G contains at least one Carter subgroup.
- (ii) The Carter subgroups of G are all conjugate in G .
- (iii) If H is a Carter subgroup of G and if L is any subgroup of G containing H , then H is a Carter subgroup of L .
- (iv) The Carter subgroups of G are abnormal in G .
- (v) If $K \triangleleft G$, and if H is a Carter subgroup of G , then KH/K is a Carter subgroup of G/K .
- (vi) The Carter subgroups of G coincide with the systemizers of G if and only if the latter are disnormal in G , and this is the case in particular when G is metanilpotent.

Proof by induction on $|G|$. First let G be metanilpotent. Then by 10.6 the systemizers of G are Carter subgroups. By 10.6 If G is nilpotent, then the only Carter subgroup of G is G itself and the results (i) - (vi) are immediate. Hence we suppose that G is not nilpotent. Let M be a minimal normal subgroup of G , $|M| = p^m$.

(i) By induction G/M has a Carter subgroup L/M . Since L/M is nilpotent, L is metanilpotent. Let H be a systemizer of L and let $\xi \in N_G(H)$. Since $M \triangleleft G$ and $HM = L$, we have $\xi \in N_G(L) = L$ and so $\xi \in N_L(H) = H$ by 10.6. But H is nilpotent. Hence H is a Carter subgroup of G .

(ii) Let H^* be any Carter subgroup of G and let $L^* = H^*M$. Then $L^*/M \cong H^*/M \cap H^*$ is nilpotent and so L^* is metanilpotent. If $L^* < G$, it follows ^{from (i)} by induction that H^* is a systemizer of L^* , since H^* is a Carter subgroup of L^* and the systemizers of metanilpotent groups are also Carter subgroups. If $L^* = G$, then $H^* \cap M \triangleleft G$ and so is either 1 or M . But G is not nilpotent. Hence $H^* \cap M = 1$. H^* normalizes

$MS_{q'} = T_{q'}$, where $S_{q'}$ is the unique $S_{q'}$ -subgroup of H^* for each prime $q \neq p$ and H^* also normalizes $S_{p'} = T_{p'}$. Since $T_{q'}$ is an $S_{q'}$ -subgroup of $G = H^*M$, it follows that $H^* \leq N_G(\underline{T})$ where \underline{T} is the S -system of G determined by the $T_{q'}$. But M is a non-central chief factor of G , and so $N_G(\underline{T}) \cap M = 1$ by 9.4. Since $G = H^*M$, it follows that $H^* = N_G(\underline{T})$ is a systemizer of $G = L$ in this case also.

Let $\xi \in N_G(L^*)$. Then $H^*\xi$ is also a systemizer of L^* , hence conjugate to H^* in L^* and so $H^*\xi\eta = H^*$ for some $\eta \in L^*$. Therefore $\xi\eta \in N_G(H^*) = H^*$ and so $\xi \in L^*$. Thus $N_G(L^*) = L^*$ and since L^*/M is nilpotent it follows that L^*/M is a Carter subgroup of G/M . By induction, L^* is conjugate to L in G and hence H^* is conjugate to H .

(iii) is clear.

(iv) Let $H \leq L \cap L^\xi$, where $\xi \in G$ and L is a subgroup of G containing the Carter subgroup H of G . Then H and $\xi H \xi^{-1}$ are Carter subgroups of L , hence conjugate in L by (ii), so that $\xi^{-1}\eta \in N_G(H) = H$ for some $\eta \in L$. It follows that $\xi \in L$. Thus H is abnormal in G .

(v) This has been shown in proving (i) and (ii) for the case $K=M$, a minimal normal subgroup of G . The general result follows at once.

(vi) Since Carter subgroups are disnormal by definition and systemizers are nilpotent by 10.2 (ii), this is clear.

(E) Following Wielandt, we call a subgroup H of G invariant in G if H^α is conjugate to H \cong in G for every $\alpha \in \text{Aut } G$. Equivalently H is ~~invariant~~ invariant in G if and only if the class of conjugates to which H belongs is a characteristic class of conjugates in G i.e. is invariant under $\text{Aut } G$. A normal subgroup is invariant if and only if it is characteristic.

Lemma 10.8 (i) If H is invariant in K and K is invariant in G , then H is invariant in G .

(ii) If H is invariant in G , so also are $N_G(H)$ and $C_G(H)$.

(iii) If H is invariant in K and $K \triangleleft G$, then $KN_G(H) = G$.

(iv) Sylow subgroups are invariant.

(v) In a soluble group, S_ω -subgroups, systemizers and Carter subgroups are invariant.

The proofs are immediate for (i), (ii), (iii). (iv) follows from Sylow's Theorem and (v) from 9.5, 10.1 and 10.7.